

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 tww.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/617,664 07/14/2003		07/14/2003	Seiji Takci	240346US0	4743
22850	7590	02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
	•	MCCLELLAND, N	CHEN, VIVIAN		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1773	

DATE MAILED: 02/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/617,664	TAKEI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Vivian Chen	1773					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - External control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	ulv 2003.						
		action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4)							
Applicati	on Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7-2003</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

Application/Control Number: 10/617,664

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LUTZ ET AL (US 5,006,601) in view of BAER (US 5,484,344).

LUTZ ET AL discloses a thermoplastic composition comprising a 1-95 wt% thermoplastic polyamide, 1-95 wt% modified polyolefin, and 1-50 wt% elastomer such as an acrylic elastomer, and up to 50 wt% fillers such as polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) particles, silica, and/or mica. (lines 1-10, col. 1; line 10-35, col. 4; line 43-48, col. 6; line 15-23, col. 13). However, the reference does not explicitly disclose the composition of the acrylate elastomers.

BAER '334 discloses that it is well known in the art to incorporate acrylic elastomers derived from C1-C8 alkyl (meth)acrylate and other copolymerizable vinyl monomers into thermoplastic polyamide composition in order to improve impact resistance. (Abstract; columns 3-4).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use known acrylate polymers as disclosed in BAER '335 in the compositions of LUTZ ET AL in order to improve the impact resistance and performance of a

Application/Control Number: 10/617,664

Art Unit: 1773

polyamide-based resin composition. The use of masterbatches and concentrates (claim 11) are a well known method of incorporating additives into thermoplastic resin compositions.

3. Claims 6-9, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over LUTZ ET AL (US 5,006,601) in view of BAER (US 5,484,344) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of SHIMIZU ET AL (US 5,030,667).

SHIMIZU ET AL '667 discloses that it is well known in the art to use composite PTFE powder having typical particle sizes of 0.05-1 microns, said powder comprising PTFE particles coated with an acrylic polymer as a modifying additive for thermoplastic resins such as polyamides in order to improving physical properties such as sliding and anti-adhesion characteristics.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use conventional PTFE-based powders as fillers in the compositions of LUTZ ET AL in order to improve physical properties and processing characteristics. In claims 7-9, the methods of forming the powder mixture are product-by-process limitations and are not further limiting in as so far as the structure of the product is concerned. "[E]even though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. *The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production.* If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same or or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." [emphasis added] *In re Thorpe*, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). See MPEP 2113. Once a product appearing substantially identical is

Application/Control Number: 10/617,664

Art Unit: 1773

found, the burden shifts to applicant to show a *unobvious* difference between the claimed product and the prior art product. *In re Marosi*, 710 F.2d 798, 802, 218 USPQ 289, 292 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vivian Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1506. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones, can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

The General Information telephone number for Technology Center 1700 is (571) 272-1700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

February 15, 2005

Vivian Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

Van Ch